UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

--X

In re:

LIBOR-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litigation.

This Document Applies to:

INDIVIDUAL CASES LISTED IN APPENDIX

--X

O R D E R

~~11-MD-2262 (NRB)~~

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 12/23/15

NAOMI REICE BUCHWALD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

This Order responds to the Direct Action Plaintiffs' ("plaintiffs") letter of November 23, 2015 (ECF No. 1242), the defendants' responsive letter of December 10, 2015 (ECF No. 1253), and plaintiffs' reply letter of December 15, 2015 (ECF No. 1257). Plaintiffs have misread LIBOR IV, which was not an invitation to seek the discovery they now request. With respect to the location from which the LIBOR submission was transmitted or determined, LIBOR IV contemplated a geographical response: from what office was the submission made, and/or if the submitter was directed by another bank official, where was that official's office located? This question should have one or at most two straightforward answers and does not require the expansive discovery sought by plaintiffs.

With respect to the location from which the bonds were issued, it is not clear from plaintiffs' letter why the bond documents in plaintiffs' possession do not reveal the relevant information. To the extent this information is publicly available, as defendants suggest, the parties should confer to identify the appropriate public sources.

Accordingly, plaintiffs' request for the discovery sought in Appendix A of their November 23, 2015 letter is denied.

Dated: New York, New York
December 23, 2015

NAOMI REICE BUCHWALD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPENDIX

CASE NAME	CASE NO.
In re Libor-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litigation	11-md-2262
City of Riverside et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-0597
County of San Mateo et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-0625
East Bay Municipal Utility District v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-0626
City of Richmond et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-0627
County of San Diego v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-0667
Amabile et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-1700
Maragos v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-2297
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-3952
Salix Capital US Inc. et al. v. Banc of America Securities LLC et al.	13-cv-4018
Regents of the University of California v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-5186
County of Sonoma et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-5187
San Diego Association of Governments v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-5221

CEMA Joint Venture v. RBS Citizens, N.A. et al.	13-cv-5511
County of Sacramento v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-5569
City of Houston v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-5616
Principal Funds, Inc. et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-6013
Principal Financial Group, Inc. et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-6014
City of Philadelphia v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-6020
National Credit Union Administration Board v. Credit Suisse Group AG et al.	13-cv-7394
Federal National Mortgage Ass'n v. Barclays Bank plc et al.	13-cv-7720
County of Mendocino v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	13-cv-8644
Darby Financial Products et al. v. Barclays Bank plc et al.	13-cv-8799
Triaxx Prime CDO 2006-1 Ltd. et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	14-cv-0146
Federal Deposit Insurance Co. et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	14-cv-1757
Bay Area Toll Authority v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	14-cv-3094
Prudential Investment Portfolios 2 et al. v. Bank of America Corp. et al.	14-cv-4189